

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

LG.PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
v.	:	Civil Action No. 06-726-JJF
	:	
CHI MEI OPTOELECTRONICS,	:	
CORPORATION, AU OPTRONICS	:	
CORPORATION, AU OPTRONICS	:	
CORPORATION AMERICA, and CHI MEI	:	
OPTOELECTRONICS USA, INC.	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	
	:	
<hr/>		
AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
v.	:	Civil Action No. 07-357-JJF
	:	
	:	CONSOLIDATED CASES
LG.PHILIPS LCD. CO., LTD, and	:	
LG.PHILIPS LCD AMERICA, INC.,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	
	:	
<hr/>		
LG.PHILIPS LCD. CO., LTD, and	:	
LG.PHILIPS LCD AMERICA, INC.,	:	
	:	
Counterclaim-Plaintiffs,	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.,	:	
	:	
Counterclaim-Defendants.	:	
	:	

**ERRATA ORDER**

WHEREAS, the Court issued a Memorandum Opinion (D.I. 192) dated April 29, 2008, in the above-captioned case which requires correction;

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the sentence reading, "Because the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has defined patent infringement as a tort, the Court concludes that the presently alleged patent infringement is a tortious act for the purposes of the Delaware long-arm statute. See Carbice Corp. v. American Patents Development Corp., 283 U.S. 27, 33 (1931)." is corrected to read: "Because the Supreme Court has defined patent infringement as a tort, the Court concludes that the presently alleged patent infringement is a tortious act for the purposes of the Delaware long-arm statute. See Carbice Corp. v. American Patents Development Corp., 283 U.S. 27, 33 (1931)."

May 19, 2008  
DATE

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE